

United States Patent and Trademark Office

4

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | LICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/654,929 | 09/05/2000 | | Ryota Akiyama | 1046.1100RE 7584 | |
| 21171 | 7590 | 09/16/2002 | | | |
| STAAS & H | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500 | | | | DIXON, THOMAS A | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20001 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 3629 | 3629 |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 09/16/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application N . | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/654,929 | AKIYAMA ET AL. | | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Thomas A. Dixon | 3629 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | | |
| | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the mai | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) | | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | tion(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| 7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | , | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-7</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 8-22. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp | roved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ Other: The drawing correction filed 28 August 2002 i. | s acceptable. | toman & Dron | | | | | |
| | | Thomas A. Dixon Examiner | | | | | |